



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,257		12/29/2000	Scott D. Leapman	1955	8991	
30408	7590	02/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	AY, INC.		DINH, TAN X			
	ATENT AT EWAY DR.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DE	ROP Y-04		2653			
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049				DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/751,257	LEAPMAN, SCOTT D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TAN X. DINH	2653		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendr tice of Appeal (with appeal	nent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	ne mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The approper eply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further colo (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the color of t	nsideration and/or search(w);	see NOTE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			, the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24. Can attached Nation of	Non Compliant Amondment	- (DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Non-Compliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		narata timalufilad amandm	ont canceling the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5-8.15,18,20,22-28.		o) ∐ will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	s after entry is below or attac	ched.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	
13. Other:	,	Can	
		TAN-Xuan DINH Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2653	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that the reference of WHITE (US 2005/0049002) did not show the feature of transferring the audio on recordable medium to another format (MP-3). Applicant is directed to the specification, page 10 of WHITE, which teaches that:

- a) The MP-3 player (907) can be mounted and/or connected to audio system 901 which includes CD player 903 (Fig.9) and [0092],
- b) The audio signal can be transferred from 901 to MP-3 player 907 [0092],
- c) Cable 908 is coupled to CD player line 912 [0092],
- d The audio signal may be removed or locally communicated to MP-3 player 907 upon coupling to audio system 901 [0094]. With all of that reasons, the audio signal from audio system 901 (inludes CD player 903) are transferable to MP-3 player 907. The rejection is still found proper and sustained.